1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LUC VAN NGUYEN, 10 11 Petitioner, No. 2:08-1380-JFM (PC) 12 VS. WARDEN SISTO, 13 Respondent. **ORDER** 14 15 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 16 17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. 18 19 The application attacks a conviction issued by the San Mateo County Superior Court. While both this Court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner 20 was convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), 21 22 any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's application are 23 more readily available in San Mateo County. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). 24 Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 25 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis; and 26

## Case 5:08-cv-03171-JW Document 4 Filed 07/01/08 Page 2 of 2

1	2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern
2	District of California.
3	DATED: July 1, 2008.
4	10000
5	TO THE CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	12 guyen\1380.108
8	
9	
LO	
11	
12	
13	
L 4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	